

Behavioral Chains

Definition: A sequence of Rs functionally linked to the same terminal reinforcer
EO + $S^D \rightarrow R1 \rightarrow R2 \rightarrow R3 \rightarrow R4$ (terminal R) $\rightarrow Sr$

Dual function of responses:

Conditioned reinforcer (Sr) for a previous R

Discriminative stimulus (S^D) for a later R

Task analysis: Breaking down a response chain into its component parts (“links”)

Components of a Behavioral Chain

EO +	$S^D \rightarrow$	$R1 \rightarrow$	$R2 \rightarrow$	$R3 \rightarrow$	$R4 \rightarrow$	$R5 \rightarrow$	$Sr-$
gas	gas	exit	enter	stop at	pay at	pump	avoid
tank	station	highway	station	pump	pump	gas	empty
low	sign						
	$S^{D1} \rightarrow$	$R1 \rightarrow$	$Sr1$				
		$S^{D2} \rightarrow$	$R2 \rightarrow$	$Sr2$			
			$S^{D3} \rightarrow$	$R3 \rightarrow$	$Sr3$		
				$S^{D4} \rightarrow$	$R4 \rightarrow$	$Sr4$	
					$S^{D5} \rightarrow$	$R5 \rightarrow$	($Sr-$)

What about driving away? Is it part of the chain?

Chaining Procedures (Variations)

Forward chaining:

- First link is taught initially; subsequent links are added from the beginning (front) to the end (back) of the chain
- Advantage: Retains temporal order of performance
- Disadvantage: Sr provided prior to completing the chain

Backward chaining:

- Last link is taught initially, subsequent links are added from the back to the front of the chain
- Advantage: Sr always follows completion of the terminal link
- Disadvantage: Acquisition on earlier links may go unnoticed

Total task presentation:

- Entire chain is taught simultaneously, with assistance (graduated guidance) provided as needed
- Advantage: Allows for uneven response acquisition
- Disadvantage: Involves greatest response requirements

Neef, Parrish, Hannigan, Page, & Iwata (1989)

General focus: To demonstrate methods for teaching self-administration of medical procedures to children

Specific aim: To teach children self-catheterization via simulation training

Potential Advantages of Simulation Training

May facilitate skill acquisition

Motivation (doll associated with “play”)

More frequent opportunities for training trials

Shaping of manual dexterity

May reduce potential problems

Embarrassment or reluctance to perform invasive procedure

Error detection and correction under safer conditions

Procedures

Participants: 2 girls w/ neurogenic bladder (CA = 4'3" and 8'1")

Apparatus: Catheterization materials, doll, feeding tube

Task analysis (4 chains, each comprised of sub-chains):

Preparation (6 steps)

Mirror placement (6 steps)

Catheterization (11 steps)

Clean-up (9 steps)

DV: % correct during daily training sessions and probes

Reliability: Training sessions and probes, $R = A/(A+D)$

IV: Simulation training procedure

Simulation (doll) training:

Chaining:

Forward: 4 main chains taught in sequence

Whole task: All steps of a chain taught each session

Instructional procedures: Initial demonstration, then instruction, verbal prompt, point, assistance

Consequences:

Correct R → Praise

Incorrect R → Next prompt

No R → ?

Criterion: 2 (100%) sessions, then review of all trained components

Experimental design:

BL: Doll and in vivo probes for all chains

Training staggered across both response chains and subjects

Doll and in vivo probes after review session for each chain

Results

Poor performance on probes prior to training

Near-perfect performance on probes following training

Cathy required in-vivo training on cath. chain

Training time: 2.75 to 4.5 hr across 9 days

Independent performance at home (3-mo follow-up)

Theresa's ileal loop undiverted

Implications and Extensions

Major contributions:

Results showed both stimulus and response generalization, supporting the use of simulation training

Systematic method for teaching self-administration of medical procedures to young children

Limitations:

No actual data taken at home

Extensions:

Comparison of simulation and in vivo methods

Curriculum packaging for staff/parent training

Application with other problems (e.g., insulin injections, wound care, etc.)